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Abstract

Delegative democracy is a new paradigm for democratic organization which emphasizes individually chosen vote transfers ("delegation") over mass election. Delegative democracy combines the best elements of direct and representative democracy by replacing artificially imposed representation structures with an adaptive structure founded on real personal and group trust relationships. Delegative democracy empowers individuals and encourages widespread direct participation in a democratic organization, without unduly burdening or disenfranchising those members who, for lack of time, interest, or knowledge, would prefer to take a more passive role.
Liquid Democracy Origins

- ...
Pre-Internet precedents

Lewis Carroll, “Principles of Parliamentary Representation” (1884)

James C. Miller, “Direct and proxy voting in the legislative process” (1969)
What is Liquid Democracy?

In principle, a combination of the “best parts” of representative democracy and direct democracy.
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100-to-1

10,000-to-1

US Congress: 700,000 voters to 1 representative
The Ideal of Direct Democracy

Get the people involved in the regular, everyday process of governmental decision-making.

Every voter

- learns about,
- decides, and
- votes on

every important community issue
High-Tech Direct Democracy?

What if democracy could fully leverage today’s (and tomorrow’s) best available technologies?

• Involve voters *continuously* in governance

• Allow voters to discuss issues

• Express support for positions

• Initiate new proposals

• …
Beautiful dream

Even if we could make the technology work, and secure enough...
Problems with Direct Democracy
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They’re made of **people**. People with…

- Varying time, attention, and commitment
  - A few get deep into an issue, others less so
- Varying knowledge, expertise on any issue
  - Ignorant loudmouth gets as much time and voting power as respected expert
- Only 24 hours in each day
  - Even in small groups, direct democracy can exclude anyone with something better to do

Pure direct democracy just **doesn’t scale**
More people means more governance work

105 countries, source: ILO, OECD
The scalability challenge

Pure direct democracy may work for a small group... but will never work for a large country.
Direct democracy can work...

In Switzerland for example...

But:

- Switzerland is a small country (~8.5M)
- Most voting done in smaller cantons, towns
- Most governance still handled by representatives; use initiatives & referenda only on select issues
Saving Time in Direct Democracy

In any direct democracy (even a small one), you probably can’t become expert on every issue.

Instead, we must take shortcuts.
• Ask a friend who knows more.
• Get opinion of a trusted expert.

If friend’s or expert’s opinion makes sense to us, we may decide to vote according to their advice.
What is Liquid Democracy?

It’s just **technology-enabled following of advice you trust**.

If there are many healthcare issues to vote on, and I’m not an expert but trust a friend who is, I can delegate my vote on health issues to her.

- My vote automatically “follows” friend’s advice
- But only as long as I want. I can cancel anytime and vote for myself again.
Different Topics, Different Experts

Representative democracy falsely presumes we can find representatives who are **competent in everything**.

- We get representatives specializing **only in politics**, and not in any topics on which they’re setting policy.

Liquid democracy allows voters to delegate to **different representatives in different areas**.

- Delegate health issues to my doctor friend
- Delegate technology issues to geek friend

Bring the benefits of **specialization** to democracy
Freedom of Representative Choice

Representative democracy typically gives voters only a few choices
- Known insiders with party support
- High barriers to official candidacy

Liquid democracy lets anyone be a representative
- If you give good advice & someone wants to take it, why shouldn’t they?

Your choice is limited only by the people you know
Deep and broad voter participation

Liquid democracy removes the information and accountability bottleneck at the top
From Theory to Practice
LiquidFeedback

Platform developed and used by the German Pirate Party for several years starting in 2006
LiquidFeedback

German Pirate Party experiment produced important lessons and voter-behavior datasets
DemocracyOS

Developed Democracia en Red in Buenos Aires, subject of TED talk by Pia Mancini
Great, so what could go wrong?

A lot, unfortunately – if we’re not careful.
Great, so what could go wrong?

A few (of the many) challenges to address:

- Unintended concentration of delegated votes
- Voter privacy versus delegate accountability
- Building secure decentralized implementations
Concentration of delegated votes

If many see one person as the top expert on topic, and all delegate their votes to same person

- Winner-take-all effect $\rightarrow$ accidental dictators
- Example: “superdelegates” in LiquidFeedback

Mitigation: enable and encourage voters to split their voting power among multiple delegates

- Avoid winner-take-all, give not-quite-top experts their fair share of delegated voting power too
Voting privacy versus accountability

We usually want to enforce voting privacy

- So voters can express preferences freely
- So voters can’t prove how they voted to anyone trying to coerce them

But if you delegate your vote to a friend or expert, don’t you need to know how they cast your vote to keep them accountable?
Voting privacy versus accountability

Mitigation: keep delegated votes private, but enable private comparison of voting record.

- I can see that my [potential] delegate has agreed with me about 75% of the time
- I can’t see how they cast any particular vote

Current liquid democracy systems don’t do this, but the needed underlying technologies exist.
Implementation security challenges

Current Liquid Democracy prototypes have single points of failure or compromise.

- You completely trust whoever runs the server
- Unscrupulous admin or hacker can do anything

Should currently be used only for small-scale experiments in “friendly” security environments!
We need secure decentralized tools

From “weakest-link” to “strongest-link” security

Mission of my lab at EPFL (w/ many collaborators):

Create open-source decentralized platform with the scalability, security, and privacy needed for trust-critical uses such as Liquid Democracy
Conclusion

Liquid Democracy gives voters *individual choice*

- of whether to participate a lot or just a little
- of which topics to follow and vote on directly
- of whose voting advice to trust

*We can make direct democracy scale!*

- But many challenges to solve.
How we can make it happen

Safely deploying liquid democracy will require:

- **Communities** to organize and participate in *small-scale, non-critical experiments* first

- **Researchers** to develop the theory, and to study and learn from experiments

- **Technologists** to build secure decentralized platforms for liquid democracy

- **Governments** to provide deployment support and set standards for security, privacy
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